

Midterm Answers

- The most appropriate graphs are
 - time series graph
 - scatter diagram
 - scatter diagram
 - scatter diagram
 - side-by-side boxplots
- Correlation is not causation. Perhaps the kinds of people who do not vote are more likely to commit crimes. (Jason Stanley and Vesla Weaver, "Is the United States a 'Racial Democracy'?", *New York Times*, Online January 12, 2014.)
- It doesn't matter! Let R be the number of red marbles in the first can, with $50 - R$ marbles in the second can. Because you have an 0.5 probability of selecting each can, your overall probability of picking a red marble is $0.5(R/50) + 0.5((50-R)/50) = 0.5$, no matter what the value of R is.
- The first ball doesn't matter. The second ball must land in one of the three remaining boxes (which has a $3/4$ probability of occurring). If it does, then the third ball must land in one of the two remaining boxes (which has a $2/4$ probability of occurring). If it does, then the fourth ball must land in the remaining box (which has a $1/4$ probability of occurring). Using the multiplication rule, the probability of winning is $(3/4)(2/4)(1/4) = 6/64$. The expected value of the payoff is $(6/64)(\$5) + (58/64)(\$0) = \$30/64$. The expected value of the profit is $\$1 - \$30/64 = \$34/64$.
- As the number of binomial trials increases, it is increasingly certain that the success proportion x/n will be close to the success probability π , but less likely that it will be exactly equal to π . Thus the probability
 - decreases
 - increases
 - decreases
- Adjusting the bar heights for the fact that the last interval is twice as wide as the first two intervals, a histogram looks like this:



- This is a Bayesian problem:

$$P[A \text{ if } B] = \frac{P[A]P[B \text{ if } A]}{P[A]P[B \text{ if } A] + P[\text{no } A]P[B \text{ if no } A]}$$

$$= \frac{(.3)(.6)}{(.3)(.6) + (.7)(.1)} = \frac{.18}{.25} = 0.72$$

In a contingency table with 1,000 people:

	B	no B	Total
A	180	120	300
no A	70	630	700
Total	250	750	1000

Therefore, $P[A \text{ if } B] = 180/250 = 0.72$

8. This is from Aatish Bhatia, “What does randomness look like?” Empirical Zeal blog December 21, 2012 <<http://www.empiricalzeal.com/2012/12/21/what-does-randomness-look-like/>>. Bhatia’s answer: “The first student’s data has clusters – long runs of up to eight tails in a row. This might look surprising, but it’s actually what you’d expect from random coin tosses (I should know – I did a hundred coin tosses to get that data!) The second student’s data is suspiciously lacking in clusters. In fact, in a hundred coin tosses, they didn’t get a single run of four or more heads or tails in a row. This has about a 0.1% chance of ever happening, suggesting that the student fudged the data (and indeed I did).”
9. There are 36 possible rolls, of which 6 are doubles. So, the probability of rolling a doubles is $6/36 = 1/6$. The expected wait is 1 divided by the probability: $1/(1/6) = 6$.
10. Scenario (b) because the central limit theorem says that the probability distribution approaches a normal distribution as the number of events being summed increases. The number of trials determines how closely the histogram will be to the probability distribution.